

1 STATE OF WISCONSIN CIRCUIT COURT MILWAUKEE COUNTY

2 * * * * *

3 PETITIONER,

4 Petitioner,

5 vs. Case No. 2022-CV-001494

6 ANDREW J. NADOLSKI,

7 Respondent.

8 * * * * *

9

10 INJUNCTION HEARING
(TRANSCRIPT OF DIGITAL RECORDING)

11

* * * * *

12

13 Date: March 9, 2022
14 Time: 10:18 a.m. - 10:49 a.m.

15 Before the Honorable Jason Mishelow,
16 Court Commissioner, Presiding

17

* * * * *

18

A P P E A R A N C E S

19 THE PETITIONER and THE RESPONDENT
20 appeared without counsel.

* * * * *

21

22 STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY
23 JENNIFER L. CARTER, CRR, RMR, RDR, OFFICIAL REPORTER

24

* * * * *

25

Please see final page for information on obtaining
official, certified copies of this transcript.

I N D E X

E X A M I N A T I O N

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Witness Name	Page
PATRICK TOMLINSON	
Examination By The Court	8
ANDREW J. NADOLSKI	
Examination By The Court	17
ORDER	
.....	27

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

(These proceedings commenced at 10:18 a.m.)

THE CLERK: Calling Case 2022-CV-1494; Patrick Tomlinson versus Andrew Nadolski.

Sir, can you, please, state your name for the record?

THE PETITIONER: Patrick Sean -- Sorry. Patrick Sean Thomas.

THE CLERK: Thank you. Sir, can you state your name for the record?

THE RESPONDENT: Andrew Jacob Nadolski.

THE CLERK: Both just raise your right hands.

(The Petitioner and Respondent were duly sworn on oath.)

THE CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. My name is Commissioner Jason Mishelow. We are here on the request of Mr. Tomlinson for a harassment injunction against Mr. Nadolski. Service was accomplished at about 9:08; so it was served one hour prior to the hearing. So

1 we will proceed.

2 Mr. Tomlinson, you were born
3 April 18th, 1980?

4 **THE PETITIONER:** That's correct.

5 **THE COURT:** And are you a Milwaukee
6 County resident?

7 **THE PETITIONER:** I am.

8 **THE COURT:** Mr. Nadolski -- I'm
9 sorry. Mr. Nadolski, were you born
10 January 26th of 1992?

11 **THE RESPONDENT:** Correct.

12 **THE COURT:** And you actually live in
13 Indianapolis?

14 **THE RESPONDENT:** Yes.

15 **THE COURT:** And Mr. Tomlinson, you're
16 saying that Mr. Nadolski is a total stranger to
17 you, but he's been harassing you for a period
18 of approximately three years?

19 **THE PETITIONER:** Not exactly. He is
20 a complete stranger to me. I've never seen him
21 before in my life until just now. However, he
22 is part of a cyberstalking group that has been
23 harassing me nonstop for three-and-a-half
24 years. When he joined that group, I don't
25 know.

1 He only made himself known to me
2 approximately one month ago, when he started
3 harassing me via text, threatening me, and then
4 showed up at Hooligan's, which is an
5 establishment that I frequently go to at
6 approximately 1:00 o'clock in the morning,
7 demanding to know where I was so he could beat
8 my ass. Excuse the expletive.

9 **THE COURT:** Can I ask you, do you
10 know why this group has chosen to target you?

11 **THE PETITIONER:** This group does this
12 just for fun. They have done this to other
13 targets in the past, but I'm probably the
14 longest term focus of theirs.

15 **THE COURT:** Okay.

16 **THE PETITIONER:** There is no rhyme or
17 reason. There is no anything that any
18 rational, mentally healthy person would
19 recognize as a cause or -- or a justification
20 for what they do. This is just what they do
21 for fun.

22 **THE COURT:** All right. In order to
23 grant this, I would need to find that there are
24 reasonable grounds to find that the respondent
25 has engaged in harassment, which is defined as

1 striking, shoving, kicking, or otherwise
2 subjecting a person to physical contact or
3 engaging in an act that would constitute child
4 abuse, sexual assault, or stalking, or attempts
5 or threats to do any of the same, or engaging
6 in a course of conduct, or repeatedly
7 committing acts which harass or intimidate, and
8 which serve no legitimate purpose.

9 Mr. Tomlinson, is everything in your
10 statement of March 7th true and correct?

11 **THE PETITIONER:** Yes, sir.

12 **THE COURT:** Mr. Nadolski, are you
13 contesting this injunction?

14 **THE RESPONDENT:** Yes.

15 **THE COURT:** All right. We will,
16 then, have a court hearing.

17 Both parties are put on notice that
18 anything that is said can be used in other
19 proceedings, both civil and criminal. Both
20 parties have the right to appeal my decision to
21 the judge.

22 Actually, I want to take one step
23 back. I realize there's one thing I'm slightly
24 confused about. Mr. Tomlinson, I -- I believe
25 that I just heard you say that this is the

1 first time you are laying eyes on him; is that
2 correct?

3 **THE PETITIONER:** Yes. That is
4 correct.

5 **THE COURT:** Does that -- does that
6 mean -- Does that indicate that you did not
7 see him at that bar?

8 **THE PETITIONER:** No. I had already
9 been home for several hours, at that point,
10 because I'm not twenty-five and don't close out
11 bars anymore.

12 **THE COURT:** Do you under -- Do you
13 have any witnesses with you today?

14 **THE PETITIONER:** No, but I can
15 certainly bring them, if necessary.

16 **THE COURT:** Well, this is the day for
17 the hearing. Although, you do have the right
18 to appeal. Do you understand that you cannot
19 testify as to what anyone told you about him
20 going to the bar?

21 **THE PETITIONER:** I do not understand
22 that.

23 **THE COURT:** You can't.

24 **THE PETITIONER:** Well, I have their
25 testimony here in text messages and instant

1 messages and --

2 **THE COURT:** I can't accept any of
3 that.

4 **THE PETITIONER:** Okay. They have
5 made statements to the police.

6 **THE COURT:** I can't accept any of
7 that. Unless they are here, I can't hear their
8 testimony.

9 **THE PETITIONER:** Okay.

10 **THE COURT:** Mr. Nadolski, do you have
11 any witnesses here today?

12 **THE RESPONDENT:** No.

13 **PATRICK TOMLINSON**, called as a
14 witness herein, having been first previously
15 sworn under oath, was examined and testified as
16 follows:

17 **EXAMINATION**

18 **BY THE COURT:**

19 Q All right. Mr. Tomlinson, what,
20 specifically -- so I'm talking about individual
21 incidents -- do you have first-hand knowledge
22 that Mr. Nadolski has done to you?

23 A Well, I know for certain that he has texted me
24 harassing messages multiple times after being
25 instructed to cease contact.

1 Q So --

2 A I know that he has threatened me in these
3 messages, threatened to beat me up.

4 Q So -- so tell me about these text messages.

5 A I have them right here, if you'd like to see
6 them.

7 Q They're not printed out?

8 A No, sir. I'm -- No.

9 Q Okay. So give me -- How did you know that
10 these text messages came from Mr. Nadolski?

11 A Because he sent me a picture of his driver's
12 license.

13 Q When did he do that?

14 A During the text exchanges of about a month ago
15 leading up to him showing up at the bar looking
16 for me.

17 Q So let's go to the text messages about the
18 driver's license. Can you go to -- Do you
19 have those up on your phone?

20 A I can bring them up. It will take me a moment.

21 Q Okay.

22 A Please, excuse me. This is going to take a
23 little while. This group text me from new
24 (indiscernible) numbers dozens of times per
25 day.

1 Q I guess, while you're doing that,
2 Mr. Tomlinson -- I do have one question, which
3 I think the -- the allegation begs.

4 A Certainly.

5 Q If you are saying that Mr. Nadolski is part of
6 an anonymous group on the internet that stalks
7 people --

8 A Mm-hmm.

9 Q -- why would he possibly text you a picture of
10 his driver's license?

11 A Because he's an arrogant idiot. This is the
12 first time any of them have broken their
13 anonymity.

14 Q Don't you think it's equally possible that this
15 group is doing the same thing to him that
16 they're doing to you?

17 A No, it is not.

18 Q Why not?

19 A Because he is part of the group.

20 Q But how do you know that?

21 A Because they embrace him totally.

22 Q How do you know that?

23 A Because they have a website dedicated to their
24 harassment of me and my family.

25 Q Okay. Go -- go ahead, sir. So let's find a

1 text.

2 A Yeah.

3 Q So -- Wait. So you're saying there is a
4 website that I could Google right now?

5 A Yep.

6 Q And it would be about this group?

7 A Yes. With over 200,000 posts dedicated to
8 stalking me, harassing me, setting up and
9 bragging about their plans to do so, and
10 obsessing over absolutely every last detail of
11 my life.

12 Q Okay. All right. If -- if you can find an
13 individual text that you say is from
14 Mr. Nadolski --

15 A Yes. I am. Again, give me a moment. Right
16 there. Okay. Here's one from February 12th,
17 would you like me to hand you my phone or read
18 it aloud.

19 Q If you can just read it aloud.

20 A Okay. "Hi. My name is Andrew Nadolski, and I
21 think you're a fat faggot and (indiscernible) a
22 possible pedophile who should be bullied until
23 suicide. Have fun being a middle-aged loser
24 whose entire life revolves around Twitter and
25 your shitty books. See you at Hooligan's."

1 I said -- And then I replied, "Your
2 name is not stalker because you can't but lie."
3 "Then, why did you sue for the names of
4 everyone who controls you? I did not lie,
5 sucker. I am Andy Nadolski." And this goes
6 on. "You're so far gone that even if people
7 tell you the truth, you cannot recognize it.
8 Why would I lie (indiscernible)?

9 And then, after blocking that number,
10 as I do a dozen times, or more, every day, he
11 continued -- Oh, no. I've gotta back out of
12 that, find the actual spot. One moment. Okay.
13 And then again, this is after I had already
14 blocked the number; so these messages all go
15 into a separate folder within the -- within the
16 phone.

17 And this is all starting about 8:48
18 p.m. that -- that evening, which is Saturday,
19 February the 12th, as it happens, so just a
20 little over a month now. "I don't have a
21 social media presence, but my name is, in fact,
22 Andy Nadolski, and I have a bone to pick,
23 child. It's amazing how you haven't learned a
24 lesson in three years. You are the same
25 in-denial asshole that you always were." That

1 you always were, misspelling.

2 "If you were (indiscernible) and
3 honest and can't look into your life and take
4 responsibility for why you are who you are and
5 what you're shit on -- on ONA" -- "ONA" is
6 short for Opie and Anthony, which is the -- the
7 group in question here.

8 Q I'm sorry. What -- what is the group in
9 question?

10 A Opie and Anthony. It's a -- it's a group that
11 started out as a fan -- a fan base for a now
12 long-dead shock jock radio show based out of
13 New York.

14 This is a very, long, stupid story,
15 sir, but the fact is, like, this group
16 originated as a group of fans for a shock jock
17 radio show. That show went off the air.
18 Those -- those shock jocks had -- had, over the
19 years, weaponized their -- their fans and their
20 followers and used them to attack people
21 anonymously online and stalk them in public.

22 Once they no longer had a show, the
23 fan base didn't have any targets to be pointed
24 out; so they started picking their own, which
25 is why, about three-and-a-half years ago, I

1 came into this mess.

2 Q The -- the original text that you read
3 references your books. What are those? What's
4 that in reference to?

5 A I'm an author.

6 Q What type of books do you write?

7 A I write science fiction, mostly. Anyway, to
8 continue, "If you take a candid look into your
9 life and take responsibility for why you are
10 who you are and why you're shit on by ONA on a
11 daily basis, you'd be a sympathetic character,
12 but you won't. How is it possible for someone
13 to never grow like you do? What's your
14 favorite air faggot? I like Francis Connor.
15 What if I came to Hooligan's and said hi?"

16 And again, this is all coming from
17 someone I have never met, never interacted with
18 in any capacity, anywhere. He simply took it
19 upon himself to start randomly and -- harassing
20 someone he had never met and never had
21 interactions with before.

22 He decided to look at things that he
23 had seen online, all of which are complete lies
24 told about me by sociopaths and take that as a
25 reason and a cause to attack me, to threaten

1 me, and then to drive from Indianapolis and
2 show up at a place that I normally frequent so
3 that he could try and kick my ass.

4 Q The texts that you just read, are those the
5 only ones you can identify as coming from
6 Mr. Nadolski?

7 A They -- they use a service either on their
8 phones or their laptops that allows them to
9 generate spoofed phone numbers; so I never
10 actually get their real phone numbers.

11 Then -- And other than that one set
12 of texts from Mr. Nadolski, I was -- I'm never
13 able to identify who's actually doing it
14 because they're not sending it from real phone
15 numbers.

16 They're sending it from -- sending it
17 from unassigned ones; so whether or not any of
18 the other literally thousands of texts I've
19 gotten from Mr. Nadolski or one of the other
20 people, I have no way to know, not without, you
21 know, help from the Cyber Crimes Unit of the
22 FBI, or something.

23 Q And you're saying that you have witnesses that
24 he came to the bar, confessed to your
25 friends?

1 A Yes. Three of them, all of which have made
2 statements to the police.

3 Q Have the police done anything yet?

4 A The police were not able to do anything because
5 he's not a Milwaukee resident, which is why I
6 went through the -- the avenue of taking out
7 this protective order.

8 Q And you didn't bring those people because?

9 A I was not aware of the nature of this hearing,
10 sir. There were no instructions given on what
11 was going to be expected of me.

12 Q What did you think we'd be doing today?

13 A I thought you would be listening to what I had
14 to say. I did not realize that witnesses were
15 going to come into it. Nor did I realize that
16 the fact that they had already given statements
17 directly to the police would not be admissible.
18 I would have assumed they would be. I
19 apologize, sir. I don't spend very much time
20 in court.

21 Q All right. Is there anything else you want to
22 introduce today?

23 A I mean, the rest of it doesn't -- Pardon me.
24 Everything else that we've experienced, to the
25 best of my knowledge, doesn't directly relate

1 or can be laid at the feet of Mr. Nadolski, but
2 this group has, for three years, been
3 terrorizing us.

4 I mean, they've -- they've sent death
5 threats. They've vandalized our home. They've
6 trespassed on us. They've attempted to get our
7 home SWATed. They've made false reports to the
8 police, blaming me for everything from arson to
9 kidnapping and murder.

10 At one point, in, I think it was, May
11 2020, during lockdown, they managed to get the
12 police called out to our home at 11:00 o'clock
13 at night, banging on our door with their hands
14 and their guns because they had believed a
15 report that I was kidnapping African-American
16 children and grinding them up into pepperoni in
17 my basement, which they all thought was
18 absolutely hilarious.

19 Didn't you? Yeah. Yeah.

20 **THE COURT:** Sir, don't do that.

21 **ANDREW J. NADOLSKI**, called as a
22 witness herein, having been first previously
23 sworn under oath, was examined and testified as
24 follows:

25 **EXAMINATION**

1 **BY THE COURT:**

2 Q Mr. Nadolski, do you wish to make any
3 statement?

4 A Not at this time. No. I -- While I was made
5 aware of this, I have not been able to retain
6 legal counsel, which is due to the short notice
7 of this. And I also was only served,
8 approximately, an hour ago; so I haven't been
9 able to process exactly what the -- what he's
10 accusing me of.

11 Q Do you wish to make any statement about whether
12 you sent the texts that he stated?

13 A I did, but I don't believe that one night of
14 admittedly not-nice text messages constitutes
15 harassment.

16 And also he's saying that I
17 threatened to kick his ass. Yet, in the text
18 he read off, I never once said that, and
19 I -- to my recollection, never did threaten to
20 beat his ass. Although, he did threaten me
21 with violence, and I have proof of that.

22 **THE PETITIONER:** No, I did not.

23 **THE WITNESS:** Yes, you did.

24 **THE PETITIONER:** No, I did not.

25 **BY THE COURT:**

1 Q How did he threaten you with violence?

2 A He -- How was it he worded it? That when
3 9-1-1 comes for me, I'll just be cleanup. I
4 won't be an emergency.

5 Q I guess, this is what I don't understand.

6 I -- I don't understand why you're having any
7 contact with him at all.

8 A I'm not going to make excuses for myself. It
9 was -- I would call it silliness, one night of
10 silliness; and, after he threatened me, then,
11 basically, I went to the bar, just to see if he
12 was gonna follow up on his threats.

13 **THE PETITIONER:** I did not threaten
14 you. Again, sir, you're simply lying.

15 **THE RESPONDENT:** They're in the text
16 messages.

17 (Cross-talk)

18 **BY THE COURT:**

19 Q What is the threat that you say he sent you?

20 A Like I said, that 9-1-1 wouldn't be there as an
21 emergency, just for cleanup, presumably to
22 clean me up off the sidewalk, or something.

23 I'm not sure, exactly, what he meant with it,
24 but it sounded pretty threatening to me.

25 Q And how did he send you that?

1 A Over text message.

2 **THE COURT:** Sir, did you send a text
3 message to that effect?

4 **THE PETITIONER:** No, I did not. I
5 have all the texts right here.

6 **BY THE COURT:**

7 Q Do you have a copy of this text message, sir?

8 A On my phone. Yes.

9 Q Do you have your phone with you?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Can you bring it up?

12 A Would you like me to show it to you or just
13 read it off?

14 Q Well, so this is after you sent him a text?

15 A Yes. I'm not going to deny that I initiated
16 contact.

17 Q So what did you say to him? What do you say
18 your text was?

19 A I said, "Where are you at? I want to buy you a
20 beer." And then he said, "You have been
21 instructed to cease contacting this phone
22 number. Continuing to do so constitutes felony
23 telephone harassment. Do not contact this
24 number again."

25 I said, "I just want to say hi,

1 little baby child. Hooligan's isn't that busy,
2 is it? See you soon." "First-class ticket to
3 prison, stalker," is what he said. And I said,
4 "Oh, baby, child, please, do. I love prison.
5 Keep 9-1-1 on speed dial." "Happy to
6 accommodate you, stalker."

7 And then I said, "Are you there,
8 little baby child," and I -- He said, "Don't
9 need to, stalker. You won't be an emergency,
10 just cleanup."

11 **THE COURT:** Sir, you're saying you
12 didn't send that text?

13 **THE PETITIONER:** I did not remember
14 sending that text. I remember telling him
15 repeatedly to cease contacting me; and, when he
16 continued to after that, he knew he was
17 committing a crime. He did so anyway.

18 **BY THE COURT:**

19 Q Mr. Nadolski, what is the connection to him?

20 A I'm sorry?

21 Q What is the connection to Mr. Tomlinson?

22 A Between me and him?

23 Q Yes.

24 A He's just a bizarre internet personality

25 that -- He's a very unlikeable person, and his

1 telephone number was available on the internet.
2 And while it probably would have been better
3 for me to use a public platform like his
4 Twitter to tell him what I thought of him, for
5 whatever reason, that night, I thought it would
6 be -- I'm not going to say I thought it would
7 be appropriate, but I did text him.

8 Q What do you mean "he's a bizarre internet"
9 presence? What does he post about?

10 A Well, he seems to think that this Opie and
11 Anthony fan group follows him for no reason,
12 that he was picked at random, but he has a
13 bizarre habit of consistently feeding the
14 trolls and escalating conflicts. He constantly
15 sends people threats. He is just, in general,
16 an abrasive personality.

17 Q Has he ever sent you a text?

18 A No.

19 Q So you just picked him out at random?

20 A Well, no. I've been kind of looking at his
21 internet presence for maybe a few months. I'm
22 not sure how long.

23 Q And what type of forums does he post on?

24 A Who? Mr. Tomlinson?

25 Q Yeah.

1 A He posts on Twitter, mostly.

2 Q About what? I'm not understanding. So you --
3 Like, what types of things? I'm just trying to
4 figure this out. This isn't making any sense.

5 A It is --

6 Q What are you saying you saw that you thought
7 I'm going to call him up?

8 A I mean, he has a years' long history of --
9 Just the way he engages people is bizarre.
10 Like, with the text messages I read off, I keep
11 calling him child, little baby, baby, infant.
12 That's how Mr. Tomlinson talks, and that's how
13 he engages with people that he doesn't like.
14 He talks in a very bizarre way. He, in
15 general, just can't let any conflict go without
16 getting the last word.

17 Q Had you ever contacted him prior to that night?

18 A No.

19 Q Why does he believe that you're part of this
20 group?

21 A Well, after this incident -- Before this
22 incident, I'd never posted on a website, had an
23 account there; but, after, I made an account so
24 I could tell my side of the story. Because he
25 was claiming that I sent him death threats,

1 that I was going to kick his ass, all this
2 other stuff, and I made an account so that I
3 could tell my side of the story to them.

4 **THE COURT:** Sir, you brought a
5 lawsuit against the other people in this group,
6 and it was dismissed just a couple of weeks ago
7 voluntarily?

8 **THE PETITIONER:** Yes, sir.

9 **THE COURT:** Are there cases pending
10 somewhere else?

11 **THE PETITIONER:** No, sir. Not at
12 this time. Although, we are looking at other
13 strategies. That is, itself, a very long
14 story.

15 **THE COURT:** This is, by far, one of
16 the stranger cases I've ever had. And I don't
17 pretend that I have a great grasp of what,
18 exactly, has happened, in total, but I -- what
19 I do have is a fairly limited incident.

20 And I am not going to grant this, and
21 let me explain why, 'cause I'm also going to
22 give a caveat at the end. Though I am
23 absolutely perplexed why Mr. Nadolski would
24 reach out to contact someone that they
25 basically just have an internet beef with, I

1 have some suspicions alcohol might have been
2 involved. It certainly was not a smart thing
3 to do.

4 And why he chose to, then, come to
5 Wisconsin to see the person in person just is
6 even more incomprehensible to me, but the
7 reason that I'm not going to grant this is
8 because I have to look at the actions of the
9 petitioner, as well.

10 And basically, again, while all of
11 this confounds me, I -- I think what we
12 basically have here is someone contacting
13 someone they don't like on the internet, and
14 saying I don't like you. I think you're bad.

15 And the person who he contacted,
16 basically, said okay, if you want a fight,
17 again, let's fight, and I'm going to beat the
18 crap out of you.

19 **THE PETITIONER:** That is not what
20 occurred, sir.

21 **THE COURT:** That's what I interpret
22 that text to mean.

23 **THE PETITIONER:** No, sir. That is
24 not what occurred.

25 **THE COURT:** Sir, that's what I

1 interpret the text to mean. I think,
2 basically, there was an -- Again, while I
3 don't understand why you'd reach out and do
4 this, this makes no sense. This is -- I'll be
5 quite blunt. This is one of the dumber things
6 I've heard in this courtroom, Mr. Nadolski.

7 To some extent, what we really have
8 here is a very limited contact. I don't like
9 you. Do you want to fight? I'll kick your
10 ass. You're accepting the invitation.

11 **THE PETITIONER:** Sir, I did not.

12 **THE COURT:** For some mind-numbing
13 reason, he comes here for the fight, which,
14 thank God, doesn't happen.

15 **THE PETITIONER:** Sir, I did not
16 invite him to come here. I did not invite to
17 fight him. That did not occur.

18 **THE COURT:** Sir, what you said was,
19 "I won't need 9-1-1." I think --

20 **THE PETITIONER:** That is after he had
21 already threatened me.

22 **THE COURT:** I understand that.

23 **THE PETITIONER:** That is after he had
24 already said he was going to come and confront
25 me. I did not say I wanted to fight him.

1 **THE COURT:** I -- I think, basically,
2 what happened is, someone offered to fight.
3 Someone accepted to fight. Thank God that it
4 didn't happen.

5 **THE PETITIONER:** No. That is not
6 what occurred.

7 **THE COURT:** And on that limited
8 basis, I'm not going to grant a harassment
9 injunction.

10 Mr. Nadolski, this caveat, there is a
11 clear line in the sand. You so much as send
12 him a text of a rainbow doing something random,
13 that's -- He doesn't want any contact with
14 you. He's made that clear, now. You can't
15 contact him going forward. That could be the
16 basis for a continued -- continued course of
17 events to harass.

18 But based on what we have, I am not
19 going to grant. This case is dismissed for
20 that reason.

21 **THE PETITIONER:** Very well. How do
22 we appeal (indiscernible)?

23 **THE COURT:** You can get information
24 from the deputy.

25 (Proceedings concluded at 10:49 a.m.)


1 STATE OF WISCONSIN)

2) SS.

3 COUNTY OF MILWAUKEE)

4 I, JENNIFER L. CARTER, CRR, RMR, RDR, am an
 5 Official Reporter in and for the State of Wisconsin,
 6 Circuit Court of Milwaukee County; that, as such, I
 7 was NOT present at, but reported this proceeding in
 8 machine shorthand while carefully listening to the
 9 digital recording of same, and thereafter carefully
 10 transcribed such electronic stenographic notes by
 11 computer-assisted transcription, and that it is true
 12 and correct, to the best of my knowledge and
 13 ability.

14 Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this 4th day
 15 of April, 2022.



16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21 -----
 22 JENNIFER L. CARTER, RPR, CRR, RMR
 Official Reporter

23 * Only the court reporter's original signature
 24 renders this transcript a certified court document,
 as unauthorized copies may be altered. To obtain a
 25 certified copy of this transcript, please call
 414.278.4760 or contact the reporter directly at
jennifer.carter@wicourts.gov.